

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686.372	10/15/2003	Charles W. Norman	2032	6307
28004	7590 09/21/2006		EXAMINER	
SPRINT			TRAN, D	ZUNG D
6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/686,372	NORMAN, CHARLES W.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Dzung D. Tran	2613		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			•		
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Oct. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 15 Oct.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	Examiner.		
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the objec	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2)	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2613

Co.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 8, 9 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pan U.S. Patent no. 6,968,130.

Regarding claims 1 and 16, Pan discloses in Figure 4, a communication ring/mesh system comprising:

a plurality of optical fibers (e.g., fibers that connected to a plurality of nodes 402, 404, 406, 408..):

a plurality of Point of Presence (POP) nodes (for example, nodes 412, 414) coupled to the optical fibers and configured to exchange communications with external systems; and

a plurality of switch nodes (for example, nodes 402, 410, 420) coupled to the optical fibers and configured to exchange the communications with the POP nodes and to exchange the communications with one another, and wherein in the event of a fault, the POP nodes and the switch nodes are configured to implement ring protection for

Art Unit: 2613

ţ.,

the communications between the POP nodes and the switch nodes and to implement mesh protection for the communications between the switch nodes (col. 10, lines 44-60).

Regarding claims 2 and 17, Pan discloses wherein the POP nodes and the switch nodes are configured to implement the ring protection by re-routing an affected portion of the communications over a protect path or line around an optical ring in the opposite direction of a work path or line, and wherein the switch nodes are configured to implement the mesh protection by re-routing the affected portion of the communications around the fault through an optical mesh (col. 10, lines 44-60).

Regarding claim 8, Pan discloses in Figure 4, a communication system comprising:

a plurality of optical fibers (e.g., fibers that connected to a plurality of nodes 402, 404, 406, 408..);

a plurality of two degree nodes (for example, nodes 412, 414) coupled to the optical fibers and configured to exchange communications; and

a plurality higher degree nodes (for example, nodes 402, 410, 420) coupled to the optical fibers and configured to exchange the communications, and wherein in the event of a fault, the two degree nodes and higher degree nodes are configured to implement ring protection for the communications with the two degree nodes and to implement mesh protection for the communications between the higher degree nodes (col. 10, lines 44-60).

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 9, Pan discloses wherein the two degree nodes are configured to implement the ring protection by re-routing affected portions of the communications over a protect path or line around an optical ring in the opposite direction of a work path or line, and wherein the higher degree nodes are configured to implement the mesh protection by re-routing the affected portion of the communications around the fault through an optical mesh (col. 10, lines 44-60).

Regarding claim 15, Pan discloses in Figure 4, wherein additional communications between the higher degree nodes is ring protected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-7, 10-14 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan U.S. Patent no. 6,968,130 in view of Prior art, Figures 1, 2 of the Specification.

Regarding claims 5 and 10, Pan does not specifically disclose the POP nodes exchange the communications over stacked optical rings within the optical fibers.

Art Unit: 2613

The prior art, Figure 2 of the specification, from the same field of endeavor, discloses a ring/mesh communication system in that the POP nodes exchange the communications over stacked optical rings within the optical fibers.

At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to an artisan to implement the stacked optical rings taught by prior art Figure 2 in the ring/mesh communication system of Pan. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do that in order to expand the ring/mesh communication system of Pan to provide service for more customers.

Regarding claim 3, the prior art discloses a ring/mesh communication system in that the communication system comprises a long distance network and the external systems include a local telephone network (page 2, paragraph 0025).

Regarding claim 4, the prior art discloses the switch nodes are configured to process telephone numbers to route telephone calls over the optical fibers (page 2, paragraph 0028).

Regarding claims 6, 7, 11 and 12, Pan discloses wherein the mesh protection is based on links that can be connected to form a protect connection with latency that is low enough to avoid echo cancellation and the mesh protection is based on links that can be connected to form a protect connection that maintains a class-of-service of a faulty link (col. 4, lines 23-47).

Regarding claims 13, 14 and 19, Pan discloses the mesh protection uses links pre-planned for the fault and the mesh protection uses links selected after the fault (col. 10, lines 52-60).

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 18, it is well known in the art that the ring protection is more expensive for the communication system customer than the mesh protection. Furthermore, whether or not the ring protection is more expensive for the communication system customer than the mesh protection is merely depend on an

Page 6

Regarding claim 20, whether or not the mesh protection using the pre-planned links is more expensive for the communication system customer than the mesh protection using links selected after the fault is merely depend on an engineering design choices.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Hermann U.S. Patent no. 6,848,006. Ring mesh network
- b. Rich U.S. Publication no. 2002/0095688. System and method of restoring cable service
- C. Antosik U.S. Publication no. 2005/0047713. Process of optical WDM bus networking with DWDM expansion for the method of protection point to point

engineering design choices.

Art Unit: 2613

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 7

examiner should be directed to Dzung D Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3025. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dzung Tran 09/16/2006

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER